



two years waiting for their court-ordered restoration treatment.<sup>1</sup> On average, individuals wait approximately six months to receive a competency evaluation once has been ordered by the court.

4. Plaintiffs M.R., K.M., M.T., O.J., and C.T. have criminal charges pending in state court and, in the course of their prosecution, have been evaluated, deemed not competent to stand trial, and ordered to the DMH for treatment. Yet each remains in jail, without treatment. Collectively, they have served **1,619 days** in jail waiting for court-ordered treatment. These named plaintiffs seek to represent a class of hundreds of individuals who are similarly situated.

5. Plaintiffs M.R., K.M., M.T., O.J., and C.T. seek prospective relief on behalf of a class of similarly situated persons defined as follows:

All people who are now, or will be in the future, charged with a crime in Missouri state court and are: (a) declared not competent to proceed to trial by the state court; (b) currently detained in a county or city jail or similar facility; (c) court-ordered to receive restoration services by DMH; and (d) awaiting, beyond a constitutionally-acceptable time, court-ordered competency restoration services to be provided by DMH or its designees (the “Restoration Class”).

6. Plaintiff D.W. has criminal charges pending in State court, and DMH has been ordered to evaluate his competency to stand trial. A mental examination of D.W. was ordered on November 3, 2025. As of the date of this motion, D.W. has not been evaluated. In fact, as of the date of this motion, DMH has not even scheduled for an evaluation. And, on average, people wait six months for a competency evaluation after it has been ordered.

7. Plaintiff D.W. seeks prospective relief on behalf of a class of similarly situated persons defined as follows:

All people who are now, or will be in the future, charged with a crime in Missouri state court and for whom a court has ordered or

---

<sup>1</sup> Clara Bates, *Missourians waiting in jail for court-ordered mental health care reaches all-time high*, MISSOURI INDEPENDENT, Jan. 27, 2025, <https://missouriindependent.com/2025/01/27/missourians-waiting-in-jail-for-court-ordered-mental-health-care-reaches-all-time-high/>.

granted a request for a competency evaluation and who are:  
(a) currently detained in a county or city jail or similar facility, and  
(b) placed on a waitlist for competency evaluation services by DMH  
but who have not received evaluation services within a  
constitutionally-acceptable time (the “Evaluation Class”).

8. For the reasons discussed in the accompany Suggestions, Plaintiffs are entitled to certification of both the Evaluation Class and the Restoration Class.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

(i) certify an Evaluation Class, defined as:

All people who are now, or will be in the future, charged with a crime in Missouri state court and for whom a court has ordered or granted a request for a competency evaluation and who are: (a) currently detained in a county or city jail or similar facility, and (b) placed on a waitlist for competency evaluation services by DMH but who have not received evaluation services within a constitutionally-acceptable time.

(ii) certify a Restoration Class, defined as:

All people who are now, or will be in the future, charged with a crime in Missouri state court and are: (a) declared not competent to proceed to trial by the state court; (b) currently detained in a county or city jail or similar facility; (c) court-ordered to receive restoration services by DMH; and (d) awaiting, beyond a constitutionally-acceptable time, court-ordered competency restoration services to be provided by DMH or its designees.

(iii) appoint Plaintiff D.W. to represent the Evaluation Class;

(iv) appoint Plaintiffs M.R., K.M., M.T., O.J., and C.T. to represent the Restoration Class; and

(v) appoint undersigned counsel as class counsel.

Dated: November 24, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Amy E. Malinowski  
Amy E. Malinowski, #65499  
MACARTHUR JUSTICE CENTER

906 Olive Street, Suite 420  
St. Louis, MO 63101  
Phone: (314) 254-8540  
Fax: (314) 254-8547  
amy.malinowski@macarthurjustice.org

/s/ Gillian R. Wilcox  
Gillian R. Wilcox, #61278  
Jason Orr, #56607  
American Civil Liberties Union  
of Missouri Foundation  
406 West 34th Street, Suite 420  
Kansas City, Missouri 64111  
Phone: (816) 470-9938  
Facsimile: (314) 652-3112  
gwilcox@alcu-mo.org  
jorr@aclu-mo.org

Kristin M. Mulvey, #76060  
Jonathan D. Schmid, #74360  
American Civil Liberties Union  
of Missouri Foundation  
906 Olive Street, Suite 1130  
St. Louis, Missouri 63101  
Phone: (314) 652-3114  
Facsimile: (314) 652-3112  
kmulvey@aclu-mo.org  
jschmid@aclu-mo.org

/s/ Maureen Hanlon  
Maureen Hanlon (MBE #70990MO)  
Ebony McKeever, #77050MO  
ArchCity Defenders  
440 N. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 390  
Saint Louis, MO 63102  
855-724-2489 ext. 1008  
314-925-1307 (fax)  
mhanlon@archcitydefenders.org  
emckeever@archcitydefenders.org

/s/ Brent Dulle  
Brent Dulle, #59705  
Kevin Cowling, #72755  
HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP  
8001 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1500  
St. Louis, MO 63105

Phone: (314) 480-1500  
Fax: (314) 480-1505  
Brent.Duelle@huschblackwell.com  
Kevin.Cowling@huschblackwell.com

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 24, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system and that I will serve a copy of the foregoing document on all defendants along with the Complaint and summons issued in the above-captioned case.

By: /s/ Amy Malinowski  
*Attorney for Plaintiffs*